Lensa: Jurnal Kependidikan Fisika
Penerbit: Program Studi Pendidikan Fisika IKIP Mataram
e-mail: lensafisika@gmail.com
url: http://ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/Lensa/index
Dec. 2023, Vol. 11, No. 2.
e-ISSN: 2686-0937
p-ISSN: 2338-4417
pp. 116-136

Problem-Based Learning (PBL) in Science Education: A Literature Review Study

Saipul Rizal[*)], Saiful Prayogi, Muhali, Nova Kurnia
Science Education Department, Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika, Mataram, Indonesia
**Email: saipulrizal@undikma.ac.id*

Received: November 2023, Accepted: December 2023, Published: December 2023

Abstract

Problem-Based Learning (PBL) has emerged as a transformative pedagogical approach in science education, fostering student-centered learning through real-world problem-solving, critical thinking, and interdisciplinary inquiry. Unlike traditional lecture-based methods, PBL encourages active engagement, collaboration, and the application of scientific knowledge in meaningful contexts. Despite its benefits, challenges such as resource limitations, curriculum misalignment, inadequate teacher training, and ineffective assessment strategies hinder its widespread adoption. This study aims to conduct a comprehensive literature review on the implementation of PBL in science education, evaluating its impact on student learning, identifying key challenges, and exploring strategies to enhance its effectiveness. A systematic literature review methodology was employed, drawing from peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and scholarly books published over the past two decades. Thematic analysis was used to categorize findings, focusing on areas such as student engagement, critical thinking, interdisciplinary learning, and instructional barriers. The review highlights that PBL significantly improves students' comprehension and retention of scientific concepts while fostering scientific literacy and collaborative problem-solving skills. However, successful implementation requires institutional support, well-trained educators, and innovative assessment methods aligned with PBL's inquiry-based nature. Key strategies for enhancing PBL include increased resource allocation, better curriculum integration, professional development for teachers, and the use of technology-driven tools to facilitate interactive learning. Future research should investigate long-term impacts of PBL, its adaptability in digital and hybrid learning environments, and its effectiveness across different STEM disciplines. By addressing these challenges and refining implementation strategies, PBL can continue to serve as a powerful approach for preparing students with the scientific competencies and problem-solving abilities needed in the 21st century. This study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on PBL and offers valuable insights for educators, policymakers, and researchers seeking to optimize science education through inquiry-driven, student-centered learning methodologies.

Keywords: Problem-Based Learning; Science Education; Student Engagement; Critical Thinking; Scientific Literacy; Curriculum Design; Assessment Strategies.

How to cite: Rizal, S., Prayogi, S., Muhali, M., & Kurnia, N. (2023). Problem-Based Learning (PBL) in Science Education: A Literature Review Study. *Lensa: Jurnal Kependidikan Fisika, 11*(2), 116-136. doi: https://doi.org/10.33394/j-lkf.v11i2.14671

INTRODUCTION

Problem-Based Learning (PBL) represents a transformative pedagogical approach that has gained prominence in science education due to its emphasis on active, student-centered learning. Originating in the 1970s within medical education, PBL has since expanded across multiple disciplines, particularly in STEM fields (Rahmadani, 2017; Han, 2017). Unlike traditional lecture-based instruction, which often relies on passive learning and rote memorization, PBL encourages students to engage deeply with complex, real-world problems. By working collaboratively to develop solutions, students cultivate critical thinking skills, creativity, and the ability to apply scientific knowledge in practical contexts. The shift toward PBL is particularly relevant in 21st-century science education, as it aligns with the evolving demands of the global workforce and the need for interdisciplinary learning approaches (Muzana et al., 2021; Nguyen, 2020).

One of the defining characteristics of PBL is its emphasis on inquiry-driven learning, where students explore scientific concepts through problem-solving activities rather than passive reception of information. Research has demonstrated that PBL enhances students' ability to apply theoretical knowledge in real-world situations, leading to a deeper understanding of scientific principles (Özeken & Yildirim, 2011; Gusmaweti et al., 2023). By fostering an environment that encourages questioning, investigation, and reflection, PBL not only improves academic performance but also cultivates essential 21st-century skills such as collaboration, communication, and analytical reasoning (Asrizal et al., 2022; Syukri et al., 2018). This pedagogical shift is particularly significant in science education, where traditional didactic approaches often fail to engage students meaningfully. Through PBL, learners take an active role in constructing their knowledge, which promotes long-term retention and a more profound appreciation of scientific inquiry.

The integration of PBL with STEM education further underscores its relevance in contemporary science curricula. Given the complexity of scientific and technological challenges in the modern world, interdisciplinary learning has become increasingly crucial. PBL frameworks that incorporate STEM elements encourage students to explore connections between science, technology, engineering, and mathematics, preparing them to tackle multifaceted societal problems (Muzana et al., 2021; Nguyen, 2020). Furthermore, research indicates that PBL fosters scientific literacy by prompting students to analyze and synthesize information from diverse sources, enabling them to make informed decisions based on evidence (Yu, 2023; Moutinho et al., 2015). As scientific literacy becomes an essential competency in the digital age, the role of PBL in cultivating informed and critical thinkers cannot be overstated.

Despite its many advantages, the implementation of PBL in science education is not without challenges. A significant barrier to its widespread adoption is the lack of resources and teacher training required to facilitate effective PBL instruction. Studies have shown that many educational institutions struggle with inadequate infrastructure and materials, making it difficult to implement inquiry-based learning strategies (Gajić et al., 2021). Additionally, traditional educational cultures that prioritize rote memorization over active learning approaches pose further obstacles to PBL adoption. Even when PBL is introduced, inconsistencies in curriculum design and the availability of well-structured learning materials often hinder its effectiveness (Charro Charro, 2020; Friedrichsen et al., 2016). These challenges highlight the need for a more systematic and well-supported approach to integrating PBL into science education curricula.

Another critical issue in the application of PBL is the need for well-prepared educators who are equipped with the skills and knowledge necessary to facilitate student-centered learning. Implementing PBL effectively requires teachers to shift from being providers of information to facilitators of learning, a transition that can be difficult without adequate training (Hofstein et al., 2011; Wahono et al., 2023). Many educators lack experience with PBL methodologies, leading to difficulties in guiding student discussions, designing meaningful problem scenarios, and assessing learning outcomes. Furthermore, traditional assessment methods, which often rely on standardized testing and memorization-based evaluations, may not effectively capture the depth of understanding and skills developed through PBL (Barak, 2020). This misalignment between teaching practices and assessment strategies can undermine the perceived effectiveness of PBL, making it essential to develop more robust evaluation frameworks that align with its learning objectives.

In addition to pedagogical challenges, the adaptability of PBL to diverse educational settings presents both opportunities and limitations. With the rise of digital learning technologies, there is increasing potential for PBL to be integrated into online and blended learning environments (Hoque et al., 2012; Ruhter, 2022). Digital tools such as virtual simulations, collaborative online platforms, and interactive problem-solving activities can enhance students' engagement and provide new avenues for inquiry-based learning. However, the effectiveness of PBL in digital contexts depends on the availability of technological resources and the ability of educators to effectively incorporate these tools into their teaching strategies. Studies suggest that while technology-enhanced PBL can be highly effective, it requires careful instructional design and ongoing support to ensure meaningful student engagement (Chardnarumarn, 2023; Supahar & Widodo, 2020).

Overall, PBL represents a significant advancement in science education by fostering active learning, interdisciplinary inquiry, and critical thinking skills. However, its successful implementation requires addressing key challenges related to resource availability, teacher training, curriculum development, and assessment methodologies. As science education continues to evolve in response to the demands of the 21st century, further research and policy initiatives will be essential to support the effective integration of PBL into diverse educational settings. Through continued efforts to enhance the implementation of PBL, science educators can cultivate a new generation of learners who are not only knowledgeable in scientific concepts but also capable of applying their understanding to real-world challenges.

Problems

The implementation of PBL in science education faces several challenges that hinder its effectiveness despite its proven benefits in fostering critical thinking, collaboration, and scientific literacy. A significant issue is the lack of adequate resources and institutional support, as many educational institutions are not equipped with the necessary materials and infrastructure to facilitate inquiry-based learning (Gajić et al., 2021). Additionally, the traditional culture of teaching, which prioritizes rote memorization over active learning, poses a major barrier to the adoption of PBL, limiting its impact on student engagement and conceptual understanding (Charro Charro, 2020). Another critical problem is the insufficient availability of well-structured curriculum materials that align with PBL principles, leading to inconsistencies in its implementation across different educational settings (Friedrichsen et al., 2016). Furthermore, many educators lack the training and pedagogical skills required to effectively facilitate PBL, as transitioning from teacher-centered instruction to student-driven learning requires specific competencies that are often not emphasized in teacher education programs (Hofstein et al., 2011; Wahono et al., 2023). The assessment of student learning within PBL contexts also presents a challenge, as traditional evaluation methods may not accurately measure the depth of understanding and problem-solving abilities developed through this approach, leading to a disconnect between instructional practices and assessment strategies (Barak, 2020). These challenges underscore the need for further research and institutional support to enhance the effectiveness of PBL in science education, ensuring that it can be successfully integrated into diverse educational environments.

Research Objectives and Questions

The primary objective of this study is to conduct a comprehensive literature review on the implementation of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) in science education. This research seeks to analyze existing studies on PBL, identify its impact on students' learning experiences, and evaluate the challenges associated with its implementation. By synthesizing findings from various academic sources, this study aims to provide insights into how PBL enhances scientific literacy, critical thinking, and interdisciplinary learning within STEM education. Additionally, the study explores potential strategies for improving the effectiveness of PBL by addressing key barriers such as resource limitations, curriculum design, teacher preparedness, and assessment methodologies. The research questions guiding this study are as follows:

1. How has PBL been implemented in science education based on existing literature?
2. What is the impact of PBL on students' learning experiences, including their engagement, comprehension, and application of scientific concepts?
3. What challenges are commonly associated with the implementation of PBL in science education?
4. In what ways does PBL enhance scientific literacy, critical thinking, and interdisciplinary learning within STEM education?
5. What strategies can be employed to improve the effectiveness of PBL by addressing key barriers such as resource limitations, curriculum design, teacher preparedness, and assessment methodologies?

METHODS

This study employs a systematic literature review approach to examine the implementation of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) in science education. A literature review is a widely used method for synthesizing research findings from existing studies, enabling scholars to identify trends, gaps, and best practices in a given field. In this study, a structured approach was adopted to collect, analyze, and interpret research articles related to PBL in science education. The methodology involved five key stages: literature selection, inclusion and exclusion criteria, data extraction, thematic analysis, and synthesis of findings. These steps ensured a comprehensive and unbiased analysis of the existing body of knowledge on PBL and its application in science education. The review focused on peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and scholarly books published within the past two decades, ensuring that the findings reflect contemporary developments in the field.

The literature selection process involved an extensive search of academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, ERIC, and Google Scholar. Keywords such as "Problem-Based Learning," "science education," "STEM learning," "inquiry-based learning," and "active learning strategies" were used to identify relevant articles. Boolean operators (AND, OR) were applied to refine search queries, ensuring that studies specifically addressing PBL in science education were included. Additionally, backward and forward citation tracking was conducted to identify key studies frequently referenced in the literature. The search strategy aimed to capture a diverse range of research perspectives, encompassing experimental studies, case studies, meta-analyses, and theoretical reviews. Articles that focused solely on PBL in non-science disciplines or lacked empirical data were excluded to maintain the relevance and specificity of the review.

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were established to ensure the credibility and relevance of the selected studies. Studies published in peer-reviewed journals, written in English, and directly related to the implementation, effectiveness, or challenges of PBL in science education were included. Articles that provided empirical data, qualitative or quantitative analyses, and case studies of PBL implementation were prioritized. Exclusion criteria included studies that focused solely on theoretical discussions without empirical support, non-peer-reviewed sources such as opinion articles or blog posts, and research that did not specifically address science education. By applying these criteria, the review aimed to present an objective and evidence-based analysis of PBL practices in science education.

Data extraction and thematic analysis were conducted to categorize key findings from the selected studies. A standardized data extraction form was used to collect relevant information, including study objectives, research methods, sample populations, key findings, and implications. Thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring themes across the literature, such as the benefits of PBL in fostering critical thinking and problem-solving skills, challenges related to teacher training and assessment, and the role of digital technology in enhancing PBL implementation. The extracted data were systematically coded and categorized to highlight patterns and variations in PBL research. This approach allowed for a structured synthesis of findings, providing insights into the factors that contribute to the success or limitations of PBL in science education.

The final stage of the methodology involved synthesizing the findings to present a comprehensive overview of the current state of research on PBL in science education. Thematic clusters were developed to summarize the key aspects of PBL implementation, including instructional design, student engagement, assessment strategies, and institutional support. Additionally, gaps in the literature were identified, highlighting areas that require further investigation, such as the long-term impact of PBL on student learning outcomes and the effectiveness of online PBL environments. The results of this review will serve as a foundation for future research and pedagogical innovations in science education, offering evidence-based recommendations for educators, policymakers, and researchers seeking to optimize PBL practices in diverse learning contexts.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Historically, PBL was first developed in the 1960s by Howard S. Barrows at McMaster University, primarily within medical education. The initial goal was to address the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application in clinical settings, where students struggled to apply what they had learned in real-life scenarios (Peen & Arshad, 2017). Over the decades, PBL has evolved and expanded beyond medical education, finding applications in secondary schools, higher education science programs, and research laboratories.

In secondary education, PBL has been increasingly adopted as a strategy to develop essential skills for the 21st century. Studies indicate that PBL fosters student engagement and motivation, as it allows learners to connect their studies to real-world issues (Lonergan et al., 2022). For instance, Lonergan et al. (2022) document the characteristics and goals of PBL in diverse secondary school classrooms, emphasizing its role in promoting critical thinking and problem-solving skills among students. The integration of PBL in secondary education has been shown to positively influence students' attitudes toward science, making learning more relevant and applicable to their lives (Kanyesigye et al., 2022).

In higher education, particularly in science programs, PBL has been documented as an effective method for teaching complex subjects such as biology, chemistry, and physics. Research has shown that PBL enhances students' ability to integrate knowledge across disciplines, thereby improving their scientific literacy (Savery, 2006). For example, Kanyesigye et al. (2022) highlight the impact of PBL on physics classroom practices in Ugandan secondary schools, demonstrating its effectiveness in promoting active learning and critical thinking. Moreover, PBL has been utilized in science research laboratories to train students in scientific inquiry and research methodologies. This application allows students to engage in authentic research experiences, where they can apply theoretical knowledge to practical challenges, thus bridging the gap between classroom learning and real-world scientific practice (Savery, 2006).

The core principles of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) that support effective teaching and learning in science education are centered around student engagement, real-world problem-solving, collaborative learning, and self-directed inquiry. These principles align with contemporary educational goals that emphasize critical thinking, creativity, and the application of knowledge in practical contexts.

1. Student-Centered Learning: PBL places students at the center of the learning process, encouraging them to take responsibility for their education. This approach fosters autonomy and self-directed learning, as students are required to identify problems, research solutions, and apply their findings (Savery, 2006; Ali, 2019). Research indicates that this method enhances student motivation and engagement, leading to improved learning outcomes (Özeken & Yildirim, 2011; Ding et al., 2014).
2. Real-World Problem Solving: A defining characteristic of PBL is its focus on complex, ill-structured problems that reflect real-world challenges. This relevance to real-life situations not only makes learning more meaningful but also helps students develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills essential for their future careers (Moravec et al., 2010; Overton, 2016). For instance, studies have shown that students engaged in PBL are better equipped to apply their knowledge to practical situations, enhancing their scientific literacy (Özeken & Yildirim, 2011; Ding et al., 2014).
3. Collaborative Learning: PBL promotes collaboration among students, as they often work in small groups to tackle problems. This collaborative environment encourages peer learning, where students can share diverse perspectives and collectively develop solutions (Savery, 2006; Nguyen, 2020). The social aspect of learning in PBL settings has been shown to improve communication skills and foster a sense of community among learners (Zhang, 2024).
4. Facilitation by Educators: In PBL, the role of educators shifts from being the primary source of knowledge to that of facilitators or guides. This change allows teachers to support students in their inquiry processes, helping them navigate challenges and encouraging deeper exploration of the subject matter (Savery, 2006; Wells et al., 2009). Effective facilitation is crucial for maintaining student engagement and ensuring that learning objectives are met (Savery, 2006).
5. Reflection and Self-Assessment: PBL encourages students to reflect on their learning experiences and assess their understanding of the material. This reflective practice is vital for developing metacognitive skills, enabling students to evaluate their problem-solving processes and outcomes critically (Savery, 2006; Overton, 2016). Reflection helps students recognize their strengths and areas for improvement, fostering a growth mindset essential for lifelong learning.
6. Integration of Knowledge: PBL facilitates the integration of knowledge across different disciplines, allowing students to make connections between various scientific concepts and real-world applications. This interdisciplinary approach is particularly valuable in science education, where complex problems often require knowledge from multiple fields (Özeken & Yildirim, 2011; Ding et al., 2014). By engaging in PBL, students learn to synthesize information and apply it in diverse contexts, enhancing their overall understanding of science.

RQ-1. How has PBL been implemented in science education based on existing literature?

The implementation of PBL in science education has been widely documented across various educational contexts, including secondary schools, higher education institutions, and informal learning environments. Research indicates that PBL enhances students' engagement and understanding of scientific concepts by emphasizing inquiry-based learning and real-world problem-solving. A key feature of PBL is its ability to foster interdisciplinary learning, particularly within STEM education, by integrating multiple domains of knowledge and promoting critical thinking. The literature highlights several essential strategies that have contributed to the successful implementation of PBL, including the integration of technology, collaborative learning environments, real-world applications, teacher facilitation, and effective assessment practices. These elements collectively enhance the effectiveness of PBL, making it a valuable pedagogical approach in modern science education.

One significant trend in the implementation of PBL is the integration of technology within STEM education. The use of digital tools and E-STEM (Electronic-Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) frameworks has been found to enhance students' problem-solving abilities and ICT literacy, allowing them to engage in meaningful scientific inquiry. According to Muzana et al. (2021), project-based learning that incorporates digital resources enables students to apply their knowledge creatively, thereby fostering a deeper connection to scientific content. The use of simulations, virtual labs, and data analysis software in PBL classrooms has further facilitated students' understanding of complex scientific phenomena, making learning more interactive and engaging. This technological integration not only improves students' scientific literacy but also prepares them for future careers that require proficiency in digital tools and computational thinking.

Another critical component of PBL is the emphasis on collaborative learning environments, where students work in teams to explore scientific problems and develop solutions. Research by Cheng and Chuang (2018) highlights the importance of team-based collaborative activities in helping students articulate their understanding of scientific concepts. The collaborative nature of PBL encourages peer learning, enhances communication skills, and fosters teamwork—skills that are essential for success in scientific fields. Twahirwa (2021) further supports this notion, noting that well-structured PBL activities lead to improved academic performance in science subjects. By engaging in discussions, debating ideas, and refining their hypotheses through group work, students develop a deeper and more meaningful understanding of scientific content compared to traditional lecture-based instruction.

Real-world context and problem-solving are also crucial aspects of effective PBL implementation in science education. When students engage with authentic scientific problems, they are more likely to develop critical thinking skills and retain knowledge. Olatoye and Adekoya (2010) emphasize that connecting theoretical knowledge to practical applications enhances student engagement and promotes long-term retention of scientific concepts. For example, students working on environmental sustainability projects within a PBL framework may explore real-world challenges such as climate change, water conservation, or renewable energy solutions. By addressing these issues, students not only learn scientific principles but also develop a sense of responsibility and agency in applying their knowledge to solve pressing societal problems. This experiential approach transforms science education into an active and dynamic process, rather than a passive transmission of information.

Teacher facilitation plays a crucial role in ensuring the successful implementation of PBL. Effective PBL requires teachers to shift from traditional instruction methods to a facilitator role, guiding students through inquiry-based learning processes. Abuhmaid (2020) discusses how teacher facilitation enhances student experiences, particularly in online learning environments where PBL has been increasingly adopted. Similarly, Duran et al. (2009) emphasize the importance of professional development programs that equip teachers with the skills to implement PBL effectively. Without proper training and support, educators may struggle to design meaningful problem-based activities, assess student progress, and manage classroom dynamics. Thus, investment in teacher training is essential to maximize the potential of PBL in science education.

Assessment and reflection are also key components of effective PBL implementation. Unlike traditional assessment methods that focus on standardized testing, PBL requires evaluation strategies that align with its inquiry-based nature. Geier et al. (2008) highlight the need for assessments that capture students' engagement, critical thinking, and application of knowledge. Performance-based assessments, peer evaluations, and self-reflections are commonly used in PBL settings to provide a comprehensive measure of student learning. Additionally, reflection activities allow students to assess their learning progress, identify areas for improvement, and develop metacognitive skills. This continuous feedback process ensures that students remain actively involved in their own learning journeys and fosters a culture of lifelong learning.

In conclusion, the implementation of PBL in science education has been successful due to its integration of technology, emphasis on collaboration, real-world applications, teacher facilitation, and innovative assessment practices. These elements collectively create a dynamic and engaging learning environment that enhances students' scientific literacy, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills. However, for PBL to be fully effective, it requires institutional support, well-trained educators, and carefully designed curricula that align with its principles. As science education continues to evolve, PBL remains a vital approach in preparing students for the complexities of modern scientific inquiry and future career challenges.

RQ-2. What is the impact of PBL on students' learning experiences, including their engagement, comprehension, and application of scientific concepts?

The impact of PBL on students’ learning experiences in science education is profound, influencing their engagement, comprehension, and application of scientific concepts. Traditional science instruction often relies on passive learning methods, which may not fully engage students or encourage deep understanding. In contrast, PBL immerses students in active learning experiences by presenting them with complex, real-world problems that require critical thinking and problem-solving. This student-centered approach fosters meaningful interactions with scientific content, enabling learners to develop essential skills and retain knowledge more effectively. Research has consistently highlighted PBL’s effectiveness in enhancing students’ academic motivation, conceptual understanding, and ability to apply knowledge in practical settings.

One of the most significant benefits of PBL is its ability to increase student engagement in science learning. Unlike traditional lecture-based instruction, PBL requires students to take an active role in the learning process, which naturally fosters higher levels of interest and motivation. Fitriani (2023) found that students engaged in PBL exhibit greater enthusiasm and willingness to participate in science learning compared to those in conventional classrooms. This heightened engagement is largely due to the hands-on, problem-solving nature of PBL, where students work collaboratively to explore and develop solutions to real-world scientific issues. Similarly, Silva et al. (2016) emphasize that citizen science projects, which often incorporate PBL principles, strengthen students’ connections to scientific content by involving them in authentic research experiences. By actively engaging with scientific problems, students develop a genuine curiosity for learning, leading to sustained academic interest and long-term retention of knowledge.

Beyond engagement, PBL significantly enhances students' comprehension of scientific concepts by facilitating deeper learning experiences. Traditional instruction often emphasizes rote memorization of facts and formulas, which may not effectively develop students' conceptual understanding. In contrast, PBL promotes inquiry-based learning, encouraging students to investigate, experiment, and draw conclusions based on evidence. Muharlisiani (2023) highlights that PBL fosters active and innovative learning, allowing students to generate meaningful scientific insights through hands-on exploration. Similarly, Setiyadi (2024) asserts that PBL's "learning by doing" approach strengthens students' scientific process skills, enabling them to construct a deeper understanding of core concepts. Because PBL situates learning in real-world contexts, students are more likely to internalize and retain scientific principles, which ultimately improves their academic performance and readiness for advanced study in STEM fields.

Another crucial impact of PBL is its ability to facilitate the practical application of scientific knowledge. Science education is most effective when students can bridge the gap between theory and practice, applying what they learn in meaningful ways. Nurso and Supriyadi (2019) argue that PBL encourages students to synthesize information from various sources and use their knowledge to address complex, real-world challenges. This approach reinforces students' understanding of scientific concepts by requiring them to apply their learning in dynamic, problem-solving scenarios. Hsu and Liao (2018) further support this notion, describing PBL as an instructional method that fosters extended inquiry processes, where students develop essential scientific skills through authentic projects. By engaging in PBL, students become adept at utilizing scientific knowledge in real-world applications, preparing them for future careers in STEM fields that demand analytical thinking and practical problem-solving abilities.

PBL also plays a vital role in developing students' critical thinking and problem-solving skills, which are fundamental to scientific inquiry. The process of identifying problems, evaluating evidence, and constructing solutions encourages students to think analytically and make informed decisions. Muharlisiani (2023) and Setiyadi (2024) both emphasize that students engaged in PBL demonstrate improved reasoning abilities and a greater capacity to tackle complex problems. Furthermore, Ramdani et al. (2023) found that students' problem-solving skills improved significantly after participating in PBL activities, highlighting the effectiveness of this approach in nurturing essential cognitive abilities. These skills are particularly valuable in scientific disciplines, where the ability to critically analyze data and develop innovative solutions is crucial. By consistently engaging in problem-based tasks, students build the resilience and adaptability needed to address scientific challenges both in academic settings and real-world professions.

Lastly, PBL fosters collaborative learning experiences, enhancing students' communication and teamwork abilities. Science education increasingly emphasizes interdisciplinary collaboration, and PBL provides an ideal framework for developing these essential skills. Huysken et al. (2019) found that students engaged in collaborative PBL models exhibited improved learning outcomes and a stronger sense of academic community. The teamwork required in PBL encourages students to articulate their ideas, engage in constructive discussions, and refine their understanding through peer interactions. This collaborative approach not only enriches students' learning experiences but also prepares them for professional scientific environments where teamwork and effective communication are critical. By working together to solve complex problems, students develop interpersonal skills that are crucial for success in scientific research and industry careers.

In conclusion, PBL has a transformative impact on students' learning experiences in science education. It enhances engagement by fostering active participation, improves comprehension through inquiry-driven exploration, and strengthens the application of scientific knowledge in real-world contexts. Additionally, PBL cultivates critical thinking and problem-solving abilities while promoting collaborative learning experiences. These outcomes are essential for preparing students to navigate the complexities of modern science and pursue careers that require analytical reasoning, adaptability, and teamwork. As science education continues to evolve, PBL remains a highly effective approach in equipping students with the skills and knowledge necessary for success in both academic and professional scientific fields.

RQ-3. What challenges are commonly associated with the implementation of PBL in science education?

The implementation of PBL in science education presents several challenges that educators must navigate to ensure effective teaching and learning. While PBL has been widely recognized for its potential to enhance student engagement, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills, its successful execution requires overcoming significant obstacles. The literature identifies common challenges such as time management, teacher preparedness, student engagement, assessment difficulties, institutional support, and cultural factors. These challenges must be addressed to optimize the effectiveness of PBL in science education and ensure that students reap its full benefits.

One of the most frequently cited challenges in PBL implementation is time management. The process of guiding students through inquiry-based learning and collaborative problem-solving requires considerable time, both for instructional preparation and for student exploration. Pre-service science teachers have reported difficulties in allocating sufficient time for both the problem-solving process and the necessary reflection on learning outcomes (Ekä°Cä°, 2016). Unlike traditional lecture-based instruction, where content delivery is structured and predictable, PBL demands flexibility and extended time for investigation, experimentation, and discussion. This can lead to rushed projects and superficial learning if not managed properly, undermining the depth of understanding that PBL aims to achieve. Additionally, time constraints often pose challenges in completing curriculum requirements, as teachers may struggle to balance PBL activities with the need to cover a broad range of scientific concepts within a limited instructional period.

Teacher preparedness and training also play a crucial role in the successful implementation of PBL. Many educators feel unprepared to facilitate PBL effectively due to a lack of training and experience (Ertmer & Simons, 2006; Yang et al., 2021). Unlike traditional teaching methods, PBL requires teachers to act as facilitators rather than direct instructors, guiding students through inquiry-driven learning rather than delivering content in a structured format. Harris et al. (2015) found that new teachers often struggle with enacting reform-oriented curriculum materials, which can hinder the effective implementation of PBL strategies in the classroom. Without adequate professional development and support, educators may find it challenging to design meaningful problem-based activities, provide appropriate scaffolding, and assess student learning effectively. Addressing this challenge requires investment in teacher training programs that equip educators with the necessary pedagogical skills to implement PBL successfully.

Ensuring that all students actively participate in PBL activities presents another significant challenge. While PBL encourages collaboration, some students may hesitate to contribute fully, leading to unequal participation within groups. Hsu and Liao (2018) highlight that differences in confidence levels, prior knowledge, and learning styles can result in variations in student engagement, with some learners taking a passive role while others dominate discussions. Lindahl et al. (2019) further emphasize that students may struggle to recognize the educational demands of PBL, particularly if they are accustomed to more traditional instructional methods. This can affect their ability to engage meaningfully with the curriculum, leading to frustration and disengagement. To address this issue, educators must implement strategies that promote inclusive participation, such as structured group roles, peer accountability measures, and active facilitation to ensure that all students contribute equitably to the learning process.

Another critical challenge associated with PBL is assessment. Traditional assessment methods, such as standardized tests and individual grading systems, may not adequately capture the collaborative and inquiry-based nature of PBL (Ertmer & Simons, 2006). Evaluating student performance in PBL settings requires alternative assessment approaches that consider both individual contributions and group dynamics. However, educators often struggle to develop fair and reliable assessment strategies that align with PBL objectives. Chen et al. (2021) point out that assessing collaborative efforts and problem-solving skills can be complex, as it involves evaluating not only content knowledge but also critical thinking, communication, and teamwork abilities. To enhance assessment practices in PBL, educators may need to adopt formative assessments, peer evaluations, reflective journals, and performance-based assessments that align with the goals of inquiry-based learning.

Institutional support and access to resources also play a vital role in determining the success of PBL implementation. Many teachers report that a lack of administrative backing and insufficient resources hinder their ability to effectively integrate PBL into their science curricula (Ertmer & Simons, 2006; Meng et al., 2023). Successful PBL implementation often requires access to laboratory equipment, technology, research materials, and collaborative spaces where students can engage in hands-on problem-solving activities. Without adequate resources, educators may struggle to provide meaningful learning experiences that align with PBL principles. Additionally, institutional support in the form of professional development opportunities, curriculum flexibility, and collaboration among educators is essential for sustaining PBL initiatives. Schools and educational policymakers must recognize the importance of investing in infrastructure and teacher training to ensure the long-term viability of PBL in science education.

Finally, cultural and contextual factors can influence the effectiveness of PBL in science education. Students and educators from different educational backgrounds may have varying levels of familiarity with inquiry-based learning approaches, which can affect their acceptance and adoption of PBL (Tawfik et al., 2021). In some cultures, traditional teacher-centered instruction is deeply ingrained, making it challenging for students to adjust to the student-driven nature of PBL. Woodward-Kron and Remedios (2007) highlight that students from educational systems that emphasize rote memorization may initially struggle with the self-directed learning aspects of PBL. To address these challenges, educators must adopt culturally responsive teaching practices that gradually transition students into PBL methodologies while providing necessary scaffolding and support. Additionally, differentiated instruction strategies can help accommodate diverse learning needs, ensuring that all students benefit from PBL regardless of their prior educational experiences.

In conclusion, while PBL offers significant benefits for enhancing student learning in science education, its implementation is fraught with challenges. Time management issues, teacher preparedness, student engagement, assessment difficulties, lack of institutional support, and cultural barriers all present obstacles that must be carefully navigated. Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort from educators, administrators, and policymakers to provide the necessary training, resources, and structural support for PBL to be successfully integrated into science education. By overcoming these barriers, educators can harness the full potential of PBL to cultivate critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and deep conceptual understanding among students, ultimately preparing them for success in the scientific and technological fields of the future.

RQ-4. In what ways does PBL enhance scientific literacy, critical thinking, and interdisciplinary learning within STEM education?

PBL significantly enhances scientific literacy, critical thinking, and interdisciplinary learning within STEM education by engaging students in active, inquiry-driven learning experiences. Unlike traditional lecture-based instruction, which often emphasizes rote memorization, PBL immerses students in real-world problems that require them to apply scientific concepts, evaluate evidence, and develop solutions collaboratively. This student-centered approach cultivates a deeper understanding of scientific principles, fosters analytical reasoning, and encourages connections between STEM disciplines. The literature highlights several mechanisms through which PBL achieves these educational outcomes, including the enhancement of scientific literacy, the development of critical thinking skills, and the promotion of interdisciplinary learning.

One of the primary ways PBL enhances scientific literacy is by requiring students to engage in authentic scientific inquiry. Scientific literacy involves the ability to understand, interpret, and apply scientific knowledge in real-world contexts, and PBL provides an ideal framework for developing these skills. According to Nilyani et al. (2023), integrating PBL with STEM approaches significantly improves students' scientific literacy by encouraging them to explore scientific phenomena, formulate hypotheses, conduct experiments, and analyze data. This hands-on engagement deepens students' understanding of scientific methods and fosters a critical evaluation of information sources, helping them differentiate between reliable scientific knowledge and misinformation. Furthermore, by engaging in problem-solving activities that mimic real scientific investigations, students develop a more nuanced understanding of how science operates in society, making them more informed and responsible citizens in a world increasingly shaped by scientific advancements.

PBL also plays a crucial role in fostering critical thinking skills, a key competency in STEM education. Critical thinking involves the ability to analyze problems, assess evidence, construct reasoned arguments, and develop creative solutions—skills that are integral to scientific inquiry and technological innovation. Research by Putri (2023) indicates that students engaged in STEM-based PBL demonstrate significantly higher levels of critical thinking compared to those in traditional learning environments. This is because PBL requires students to engage in deeper cognitive processes, such as questioning assumptions, comparing alternative explanations, and synthesizing diverse sources of information. Similarly, Ata (2023) found that PBL-STEM models promote active engagement and curiosity, enabling students to develop problem-solving strategies based on evidence and logical reasoning. Unlike passive learning methods, where students are primarily recipients of information, PBL encourages them to think critically and independently, preparing them for the analytical demands of higher education and scientific careers.

Another key benefit of PBL is its ability to promote interdisciplinary learning by integrating knowledge and skills from various STEM disciplines. Traditional science education often compartmentalizes subjects, treating mathematics, physics, chemistry, and engineering as separate fields. However, real-world scientific problems are inherently interdisciplinary, requiring expertise from multiple domains to develop effective solutions. As noted by Wiratman (2023), PBL facilitates interdisciplinary collaboration by bringing together students with diverse perspectives and encouraging them to draw on multiple areas of expertise. For example, a PBL project on renewable energy might require students to apply principles of physics (energy conversion), chemistry (battery storage), mathematics (efficiency calculations), and engineering (system design) to develop a viable solution. This interconnected approach not only enhances students' understanding of individual subjects but also reinforces the relevance of interdisciplinary learning, preparing them for complex scientific and technological challenges.

Additionally, PBL promotes active learning and engagement, which are essential for developing both scientific literacy and critical thinking. Unlike traditional classroom settings, where students passively absorb information from textbooks or lectures, PBL encourages them to take ownership of their learning by investigating problems, collaborating with peers, and reflecting on their experiences. Ritonga et al. (2021) emphasize that this active involvement leads to deeper conceptual understanding and greater motivation to learn. Hidayah et al. (2018) further highlight that students engaged in PBL demonstrate improved critical thinking performance because they are required to evaluate evidence, draw conclusions, and articulate their reasoning. By shifting the focus from teacher-centered instruction to student-driven inquiry, PBL cultivates a sense of curiosity and intellectual independence, which are essential traits for lifelong learners in scientific fields.

Finally, PBL enhances collaboration and communication skills, both of which are critical for success in STEM disciplines. Scientific research and technological innovation are inherently collaborative processes that require effective teamwork, clear communication, and the ability to integrate diverse viewpoints. PBL provides students with opportunities to develop these skills by working in groups to tackle complex problems, share ideas, and provide constructive feedback to peers. Wiratman (2023) and Menap et al. (2021) emphasize that social interaction in PBL environments not only strengthens critical thinking but also prepares students for future collaborative work in professional and academic settings. By engaging in structured group discussions, presenting findings, and defending their solutions, students refine their ability to articulate scientific concepts clearly and persuasively—an essential skill for scientists, engineers, and policymakers alike.

In conclusion, PBL enhances scientific literacy, critical thinking, and interdisciplinary learning within STEM education by engaging students in authentic problem-solving experiences, promoting active learning, and fostering collaboration. Through inquiry-driven investigations, students develop a deeper understanding of scientific concepts, refine their analytical reasoning skills, and learn to apply interdisciplinary knowledge to real-world challenges. These outcomes are essential for preparing students to navigate the complexities of modern scientific inquiry and to become informed, critical thinkers in an increasingly interconnected world. By integrating PBL into STEM curricula, educators can cultivate a new generation of learners who are not only proficient in scientific knowledge but also equipped with the skills and mindset necessary to address pressing global challenges.

RQ-5. What strategies can be employed to improve the effectiveness of PBL by addressing key barriers such as resource limitations, curriculum design, teacher preparedness, and assessment methodologies?

Improving the effectiveness of PBL in science education requires targeted strategies to address key barriers such as resource limitations, curriculum design, teacher preparedness, and assessment methodologies. PBL has been widely recognized for its ability to foster scientific literacy, critical thinking, and interdisciplinary learning. However, challenges such as insufficient materials, lack of teacher training, misalignment with standardized curricula, and ineffective assessment methods can hinder its successful implementation. To maximize the impact of PBL, educators, policymakers, and institutions must adopt evidence-based strategies that enhance the quality and sustainability of this approach.

One of the fundamental challenges in PBL implementation is resource limitations, which can include inadequate access to materials, technology, and instructional time. Schools and educational institutions must prioritize resource allocation to support PBL activities. Soeprijanto et al. (2022) emphasize the importance of developing standardized assessment models and instructional resources tailored specifically for PBL environments. By investing in high-quality learning materials, laboratory equipment, and digital tools, educators can create a more engaging and effective PBL experience. Additionally, leveraging open-source educational platforms and online repositories can help mitigate financial constraints by providing students and teachers with access to a wealth of scientific resources. Schools can also partner with industry professionals and research institutions to secure external funding and resources for PBL initiatives, ensuring that students have the necessary tools to engage in meaningful inquiry-based learning.

Curriculum design integration is another crucial factor in improving PBL effectiveness. PBL must be seamlessly incorporated into existing curricula while aligning with educational standards and learning objectives. Dewi (2024) suggests developing a structured project-based learning assessment guide that focuses on phenomenon-based learning, helping educators design PBL activities that are both relevant and engaging. A well-structured curriculum should outline clear learning goals, provide scaffolding to support student inquiry, and integrate interdisciplinary connections across STEM fields. By embedding PBL within national and regional science education frameworks, educators can ensure that students develop essential scientific competencies without sacrificing curriculum coverage. Additionally, flexible curriculum models that allow for interdisciplinary collaboration can further enhance the effectiveness of PBL by fostering connections between different scientific disciplines and real-world problem-solving scenarios.

Teacher training and professional development play a vital role in ensuring the successful implementation of PBL. Many educators feel unprepared to facilitate PBL effectively due to a lack of training and experience in student-centered, inquiry-based learning methodologies. González-Cespón (2024) highlights the need for professional development programs that equip educators with the skills to implement PBL and assess learning outcomes rigorously. Training programs should focus on strategies for designing meaningful problem-based activities, facilitating group work, and fostering student autonomy. Additionally, professional learning communities (PLCs) can provide ongoing support and collaboration opportunities for teachers, allowing them to share best practices and refine their instructional approaches. Investing in teacher education ensures that educators are well-equipped to navigate the complexities of PBL and provide students with high-quality learning experiences.

A major challenge in PBL implementation is the assessment of student learning, as traditional evaluation methods may not fully capture the depth of understanding and problem-solving skills developed through PBL. Effective assessment strategies should align with PBL objectives and measure students' critical thinking, collaboration, and inquiry skills. Pérez-Torregrosa et al. (2022) advocate for the use of digital rubric-based assessments, which can provide a more comprehensive evaluation of students' competencies. Implementing performance-based assessments, peer evaluations, and reflective journals can help educators assess both individual contributions and group dynamics. Additionally, e-portfolios can serve as a valuable tool for documenting students' progress over time, allowing them to showcase their problem-solving abilities and scientific inquiry skills. By adopting a variety of assessment methods, educators can ensure that PBL outcomes are accurately measured and effectively communicated to students and stakeholders.

Finally, collaboration and the utilization of technology can enhance the effectiveness of PBL while addressing key challenges. Encouraging partnerships between schools, universities, industry professionals, and community organizations can provide students with access to real-world expertise and authentic learning experiences. González-Cespón (2024) highlights the benefits of engaging community stakeholders in PBL projects, as this approach fosters a sense of ownership and relevance among students. Additionally, leveraging digital tools and online platforms can facilitate collaboration, project management, and knowledge sharing. Pérez-Torregrosa et al. (2022) suggest using technology to streamline the PBL process, such as incorporating virtual simulations, interactive problem-solving tools, and online discussion forums. By integrating technology into PBL instruction, educators can enhance student engagement, provide access to diverse resources, and support differentiated learning experiences.

In conclusion, improving the effectiveness of PBL in science education requires a multifaceted approach that addresses resource limitations, enhances curriculum design, strengthens teacher preparedness, and implements innovative assessment methodologies. By prioritizing resource allocation, developing structured curriculum frameworks, investing in teacher training, adopting alternative assessment strategies, and leveraging technology, educators can create a more effective and engaging PBL environment. These strategies not only enhance scientific literacy, critical thinking, and interdisciplinary learning but also prepare students for the complexities of modern scientific and technological challenges. Institutions and policymakers must work collaboratively to support PBL initiatives, ensuring that all students benefit from the transformative potential of problem-based learning in STEM education.

CONCLUSION

This study has examined the implementation of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) in science education through a comprehensive literature review, addressing its impact on students’ learning experiences, the challenges associated with its adoption, and the strategies that can enhance its effectiveness. The findings indicate that PBL has been successfully implemented in various educational settings, including secondary schools, higher education, and informal learning environments. Research supports its role in fostering scientific literacy, improving comprehension, and strengthening the application of scientific concepts through real-world problem-solving. Additionally, PBL enhances students’ critical thinking and interdisciplinary learning within STEM education by encouraging inquiry-based approaches and collaboration. However, its implementation remains inconsistent across institutions due to challenges such as resource limitations, teacher preparedness, and assessment difficulties.

The study has also identified key barriers to the effective implementation of PBL in science education. These challenges include insufficient resources, lack of standardized curriculum integration, limited teacher training, and the difficulty of assessing student learning outcomes in PBL contexts. Many educators struggle to transition from traditional teaching methods to student-centered facilitation, highlighting the need for professional development programs that equip them with the necessary pedagogical skills. Additionally, traditional assessment models do not always align with PBL’s inquiry-driven approach, necessitating the development of alternative assessment strategies that accurately measure problem-solving, collaboration, and critical thinking. Addressing these barriers is crucial to ensuring that PBL reaches its full potential as an effective instructional method in science education.

To enhance the effectiveness of PBL, several strategies must be implemented, including increased resource allocation, better curriculum alignment, improved teacher training, and the integration of innovative assessment methodologies. Leveraging technology can also facilitate collaboration and access to digital learning tools that support PBL activities. Furthermore, fostering institutional support and community partnerships can provide students with authentic problem-solving experiences that enhance their engagement and learning outcomes. Future research should focus on the long-term impact of PBL on student achievement, as well as its adaptability in online and hybrid learning environments. By addressing these challenges and refining implementation strategies, PBL can continue to serve as a powerful approach to preparing students for the demands of modern science and technology.

RECOMMENDATION

Future research on Problem-Based Learning (PBL) in science education should focus on developing scalable and adaptable implementation models that address existing challenges, such as resource limitations, teacher training, and assessment alignment. Longitudinal studies are needed to evaluate the long-term impact of PBL on students' critical thinking, scientific literacy, and problem-solving abilities across diverse educational settings. Additionally, further exploration of technology-enhanced PBL, including virtual labs, artificial intelligence-driven tutoring, and collaborative online platforms, can provide insights into optimizing digital learning experiences. Research should also investigate strategies for integrating PBL into standardized curricula while maintaining flexibility for inquiry-based learning. Lastly, comparative studies between traditional and PBL-based instruction in various STEM disciplines can offer valuable evidence to guide policymakers and educators in refining instructional frameworks and assessment practices, ensuring that PBL remains an effective approach for equipping students with 21st-century scientific competencies.

REFERENCES

Abuhmaid, A. (2020). The efficiency of online learning environment for implementing project-based learning: students' perceptions. International Journal of Higher Education, 9(5), 76. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v9n5p76

Ali, S. (2019). Problem based learning: a student-centered approach. English Language Teaching, 12(5), 73. https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v12n5p73

Asrizal, A., Yurnetti, Y., & Usman, E. (2022). Ict thematic science teaching material with 5e learning cycle model to develop students' 21st-century skills. Jurnal Pendidikan Ipa Indonesia, 11(1), 61-72. https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v11i1.33764

Ata, P. (2023). Implementation of the pbl-stem model to improve students' critical thinking on reaction rate material. Hydrogen Jurnal Kependidikan Kimia, 11(5), 645. https://doi.org/10.33394/hjkk.v11i5.8609

Barak, M. (2020). Problem-, project- and design-based learning: their relationship to teaching science, technology and engineering in school. Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 7(2), 94-97. https://doi.org/10.24313/jpbl.2020.00227

Chardnarumarn, J. (2023). Enhancing information communication technology competency in thai university student computer education courses. Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice, 23(18). https://doi.org/10.33423/jhetp.v23i18.6619

Charro, E. (2020). A curricular delphi study to improve the science education of secondary school students in spain. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 58(2), 282-304. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21655

Chen, Y., Hmelo-Silver, C., Lajoie, S., Zheng, J., Huang, L., & Bodnar, S. (2021). Using teacher dashboards to assess group collaboration in problem-based learning. Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 15(2). https://doi.org/10.14434/ijpbl.v15i2.28792

Cheng, M. & Chuang, H. (2018). Learning processes for digital storytelling scientific imagination. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics Science and Technology Education, 15(2). https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/100636

Dewi, L. (2024). The project-based learning assessment guide (project-based learning) is oriented towards phenomenon-based learning. Journal of Education Research and Evaluation, 8(2), 362-372. https://doi.org/10.23887/jere.v8i2.74594

Ding, X., Zhao, L., Chu, H., Tong, N., Ni, C., Hu, Z., … & Wang, M. (2014). Assessing the effectiveness of problem-based learning of preventive medicine education in china. Scientific Reports, 4(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05126

Duran, E., Ballone-Duran, L., Haney, J., & Beltyukova, S. (2009). The impact of a professional development program integrating informal science education on early childhood teachers' self-efficacy and beliefs about inquiry-based science teaching. Journal of Elementary Science Education, 21(4), 53-70. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03182357

Ekä°Cä°, D. (2016). Examination of pre-service science teachers activities using problem based learning method. Educational Research and Reviews, 11(1), 37-47. https://doi.org/10.5897/err2015.2424

Ertmer, P. and Simons, K. (2006). Jumping the pbl implementation hurdle: supporting the efforts of k–12 teachers. Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1005

Fitriani, R. (2023). The influence of maritime education through project based learning-a review. Bio Web of Conferences, 79, 02004. https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20237902004

Friedrichsen, P., Sadler, T., Graham, K., & Brown, P. (2016). Design of a socio-scientific issue curriculum unit: antibiotic resistance, natural selection, and modeling. International Journal of Designs for Learning, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.14434/ijdl.v7i1.19325

Gajić, M., Upanec, V., Babić-Kekez, S., & Trbojević, A. (2021). Methodological approaches to the study of inquiry-based learning in natural science education. Problems of Education in the 21st Century, 79(5), 728-750. https://doi.org/10.33225/pec/21.79.728

Geier, R., Blumenfeld, P., Marx, R., Krajcik, J., Fishman, B., Soloway, E., … & Clay-Chambers, J. (2008). Standardized test outcomes for students engaged in inquiry-based science curricula in the context of urban reform. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 45(8), 922-939. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20248

González-Cespón, J. (2024). Enhancing employability skills of biology graduates through an interdisciplinary project-based service learning experience with engineering and translation undergraduate students. Education Sciences, 14(1), 95. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14010095

Gray, K. & Eisen, A. (2019). The emory-tibet science initiative: rethinking cross-cultural science and teaching. Journal of Microbiology and Biology Education, 20(1). https://doi.org/10.1128/jmbe.v20i1.1618

Han, K. (2017). Why & how we apply pbl to science-gifted education?. Creative Education, 08(06), 912-924. https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2017.86066

Harris, C., Penuel, W., D'Angelo, C., DeBarger, A., Gallagher, L., Kennedy, C., … & Krajcik, J. (2015). Impact of project-based curriculum materials on student learning in science: results of a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 52(10), 1362-1385. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21263

Hidayah, N., Putra, N., & Sugianto, S. (2018). Students' critical thinking performance through problem-based learning by using metacognitive strategy.. https://doi.org/10.2991/iset-18.2018.71

Hofstein, A., Eilks, I., & Bybee, R. (2011). Societal issues and their importance for contemporary science education—a pedagogical justification and the state-of-the-art in israel, germany, and the usa. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 9(6), 1459-1483. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-010-9273-9

Hoque, A. & Islam, M. (2012). Problem-based e-learning and evaluation system fordatabase design and programming in sql. International Journal of E-Education E-Business E-Management and E-Learning. https://doi.org/10.7763/ijeeee.2012.v2.180

Hsu, P. & Liao, Y. (2018). Using cogenerative dialogues to transform contradictions in project-based learning. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics Science and Technology Education, 14(12). https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/95127

Hsu, P. & Liao, Y. (2018). Using cogenerative dialogues to transform contradictions in project-based learning. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics Science and Technology Education, 14(12). https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/95127

Hung, W. (2011). Theory to reality: a few issues in implementing problem-based learning. Educational Technology Research and Development, 59(4), 529-552. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-011-9198-1

Hussein, B. (2021). Addressing collaboration challenges in project-based learning: the student's perspective. Education Sciences, 11(8), 434. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11080434

Huysken, K., Olivey, H., McElmurry, K., Gao, M., & Avis, P. (2019). Assessing collaborative, project-based learning models in introductory science courses. Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 19(1). https://doi.org/10.14434/josotl.v19i1.26777

Kanyesigye, S., Uwamahoro, J., & Kemeza, I. (2022). Data collected to measure the impact of problem-based learning and document physics classroom practices among ugandan secondary schools. Data in Brief, 44, 108534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2022.108534

Kanyesigye, S., Uwamahoro, J., & Kemeza, I. (2022). Effect of problem-based learning on students' attitude towards learning physics: a cohort study. F1000research, 11, 1240. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.125085.1

Lindahl, M., Folkesson, A., & Zeidler, D. (2019). Students' recognition of educational demands in the context of a socioscientific issues curriculum. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 56(9), 1155-1182. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21548

Lisa, T. (2023). Effect size analysis of the use of guided inquiry-based teaching materials on students' competence. jipt, 1(1), 85-95. https://doi.org/10.24036/jipt/vol1-iss1/6

Lonergan, R., Cumming, T., & O'Neill, S. (2022). Exploring the efficacy of problem-based learning in diverse secondary school classrooms: characteristics and goals of problem-based learning. International Journal of Educational Research, 112, 101945. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2022.101945

Menap, M., Bayani, F., & Prayogi, S. (2021). Problem-based learning in phytochemistry courses: its' effectiveness in improving medical students' critical thinking ability viewed from cognitive style. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Ipa, 7(SpecialIssue), 118-125. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v7ispecialissue.1124

Meng, N., Dong, Y., Roehrs, D., & Luan, L. (2023). Tackle implementation challenges in project-based learning: a survey study of pbl e-learning platforms. Educational Technology Research and Development, 71(3), 1179-1207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-10202-7

Moravec, M., Williams, A., Aguilar-Roca, N., & O'Dowd, D. (2010). Learn before lecture: a strategy that improves learning outcomes in a large introductory biology class. Cbe—life Sciences Education, 9(4), 473-481. https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.10-04-0063

Moutinho, S., Torres, J., Fernandes, I., & Vasconcelos, C. (2015). Problem-based learning and nature of science: a study with science teachers. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 191, 1871-1875. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.04.324

Muharlisiani, L. (2023). Systematic literature review and meta-analysis: the effectiveness of project-based learning in science learning. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Ipa, 9(12), 1385-1393. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i12.5544

Muzana, S., Jumadi, J., Wilujeng, I., Yanto, B., & Mukti, W. (2021). E-stem project-based learning in teaching science to increase ict literacy and problem solving. International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (Ijere), 10(4), 1386. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v10i4.21942

Muzana, S., Jumadi, J., Wilujeng, I., Yanto, B., & Mukti, W. (2021). E-stem project-based learning in teaching science to increase ict literacy and problem solving. International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (Ijere), 10(4), 1386. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v10i4.21942

Nguyen, N. (2020). Using the problem-based learning in stem teaching about bamboo toothpick houses. International Education Studies, 13(12), 70. https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v13n12p70

Nguyen, N. (2020). Using the problem-based learning in stem teaching about bamboo toothpick houses. International Education Studies, 13(12), 70. https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v13n12p70

Nilyani, K., Asrizal, A., & Usmeldi, U. (2023). Effect of stem integrated science learning on scientific literacy and critical thinking skills of students: a meta-analysis. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Ipa, 9(6), 65-72. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i6.2614

Nurso, S. (2019). Environmental physics program: an evaluation and reconstruction. International Journal of Science and Society, 1(3), 45-56. https://doi.org/10.54783/ijsoc.v1i3.28

Olatoye, R. and Adekoya, Y. (2010). Effect of four teaching strategies on senior secondary students' achievement in an aspect of agricultural science. African Journal of Educational Studies in Mathematics and Sciences, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.4314/ajesms.v7i1.61569

Overton, T. (2016). Context and problem-based learning. New Directions in the Teaching of Physical Sciences, (3), 7-12. https://doi.org/10.29311/ndtps.v0i3.409

Peen, T. & Arshad, M. (2017). Collaborative and self-directed learning processes: a case study in malaysian chemistry pbl lesson. Ijer - Indonesian Journal of Educational Review, 4(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.21009/ijer.04.01.01

Putri, A. (2023). Influence of problem based learning (pbl) model based on stem approach on critical thinking ability phase-e in biology learning. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Ipa, 9(9), 7056-7063. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i9.4568

Pérez-Torregrosa, A., Arrufat, M., & Serna, M. (2022). Digital rubric-based assessment of oral presentation competence with technological resources for preservice teachers. Estudios Sobre Educación, 43, 177-198. https://doi.org/10.15581/004.43.009

Rahmadani, D. (2017). Short analysis review of developing method study in integrating science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (stem) approach in problem based learning model towards students' problem solving ability.. https://doi.org/10.2991/icmsed-16.2017.31

Ritonga, S., Areeisty, K., & Zulkarnaini, Z. (2021). Enhancing students' critical thinking skills through problem based learning integrated with mindmapping. Asian Journal of Science Education, 3(1), 63-69. https://doi.org/10.24815/ajse.v3i1.19727

Rosario, K. (2023). Case and project-based learning lessons in enhancing science process skills. International Journal of Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics, 3(3), 79-102. https://doi.org/10.53378/353006

Ruhter, L. (2022). Using the udl framework in inquiry-based science teaching to support students with extensive support needs in inclusive classrooms. Inclusive Practices, 1(4), 139-146. https://doi.org/10.1177/27324745221093766

Sari, R. & Enjoni, E. (2023). Application of problem-based learning with video assistance to the learning outcomes of science from students in class v elementary. Journal of Digital Learning and Distance Education, 1(12), 387-394. https://doi.org/10.56778/jdlde.v1i12.107

Savery, J. (2006). Overview of problem-based learning: definitions and distinctions. Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1002

Savery, J. (2006). Overview of problem-based learning: definitions and distinctions. Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1002

Setiyadi, M. (2024). Meta-analysis: the effect of project based learning on science process skills. Jurnal Pembelajaran Dan Biologi Nukleus, 10(1), 52-62. https://doi.org/10.36987/jpbn.v10i1.5227

Silva, C., Monteiro, A., Manahl, C., Lostal, E., Schäfer, T., Andrade, N., … & Brito, R. (2016). Cell spotting: educational and motivational outcomes of cell biology citizen science project in the classroom. Journal of Science Communication, 15(01), A02. https://doi.org/10.22323/2.15010202

Soeprijanto, S., Prohantoro, R., Pratama, R., & Ariefin, D. (2022). Needs analysis for developing project-based learning outcomes assessment models in electricity topic at the center of excellence vocational high school. Jurnal Penelitian & Pengembangan Pendidikan Fisika, 8(2), 325-334. https://doi.org/10.21009/1.08214

Syukri, M., Halim, L., Mohtar, L., & Soewarno, S. (2018). The impact of engineering design process in teaching and learning to enhance students' science problem-solving skills. Jurnal Pendidikan Ipa Indonesia, 7(1), 66-75. https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v7i1.12297

Tawfik, A., Gishbaugher, J., Gatewood, J., & Arrington, T. (2021). How k-12 teachers adapt problem-based learning over time. Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 15(1). https://doi.org/10.14434/ijpbl.v15i1.29662

Twahirwa, J. (2021). Effect of project-based learning: learners' conceptualization and achievement in science education. African Journal of Educational Studies in Mathematics and Sciences, 17(1), 17-35. https://doi.org/10.4314/ajesms.v17i1.2

Wahono, B., Husna, A., Hariyadi, S., Anwar, Y., & Meilinda, M. (2023). Development of integrated stem education learning units to access students' systems thinking abilities. Jurnal Inovasi Teknologi Pendidikan, 10(1), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.21831/jitp.v10i1.52886

Wells, S., Warelow, P., & Jackson, K. (2009). Problem based learning (pbl): a conundrum. Contemporary Nurse, 33(2), 191-201. https://doi.org/10.5172/conu.2009.33.2.191

Widodo, E. (2020). The feasibility test on laboratory based on virtual instrument system as nature of science learning media.. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200204.040

Wiratman, A. (2023). Project-based learning integrated with science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (stem) to the critical thinking skills of students in elementary school. Sittah Journal of Primary Education, 4(2), 167-180. https://doi.org/10.30762/sittah.v4i2.1828

Woodward-Kron, R. and Remedios, L. (2007). Classroom discourse in problem-based learning classrooms in the health sciences. Australian Review of Applied Linguistics, 30(1), 9.1-9.18. https://doi.org/10.2104/aral0709

Xu, L. (2024). A survey and analysis of implementation status of science and technology mini projects course in middle school. Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies, 50(11), 185-197. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2024/v50i111646

Yang, D., Skelcher, S., & Gao, F. (2021). An investigation of teacher experiences in learning the project-based learning approach. Journal of Education and Learning (Edulearn), 15(4), 490-504. https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v15i4.20302

Yu, X. (2023). Systematically evaluate the effect of problem-based learning method in the teaching of epidemiology and health statistics in china. Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association, 73(7), 1462-1467. https://doi.org/10.47391/jpma.6676

Zhang, M. (2024). Application of pbl combined with cbl teaching method in clinical teaching of vascular surgery. Plos One, 19(8), e0306653. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0306653

Özeken, Ö. & Yildirim, A. (2011). The effect of problem-based learning method in teaching acid-base subject on science teachers' academic success. Pegem Eğitim Ve Öğretim Dergisi, 1(1), 33-38. https://doi.org/10.14527/c1s1m4

Özeken, Ö. & Yildirim, A. (2011). The effect of problem-based learning method in teaching acid-base subject on science teachers' academic success. Pegem Eğitim Ve Öğretim Dergisi, 1(1), 33-38. https://doi.org/10.14527/c1s1m4